

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS

California Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 8.1115(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 8.1115.

COURT OF APPEAL, FOURTH APPELLATE DISTRICT

DIVISION ONE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

THE PEOPLE,

Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

MONICA LEE CASTELLUZZO,

Defendant and Appellant.

D056449

(Super. Ct. No. SCS232946)

APPEAL from a judgment of the Superior Court of San Diego County, Esteban Hernandez, Judge. Affirmed.

Monica Lee Castelluzzo pleaded guilty under a plea agreement to unlawful taking or driving of a vehicle. (Veh. Code, § 10851, subd. (a).) Castelluzzo also admitted violating probation in separate case No. SCS227555. On October 19, 2009, the trial court sentenced Castelluzzo to prison for the stipulated prison term of 16 months, and imposed a concurrent 16-month term for the probation revocation case. In accordance with the plea agreement, the court dismissed one count of grand theft of an automobile (Pen.

Code,¹ § 487, subd. (d)(1)), and allegations that Castelluzzo had previously been convicted of taking a vehicle within the meaning of section 666.5, subdivision (a).

Castelluzzo appeals. We affirm.

FACTS

On October 7, 2009, Castelluzzo unlawfully took and drove a vehicle owned by Fox Car Rental without consent, intending to temporarily or permanently deprive the rental car company of the vehicle.

DISCUSSION

Appointed appellate counsel has filed a brief summarizing the facts and proceedings below. Counsel presents no argument for reversal, but asks this court to review the record for error, as mandated by *People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436 (*Wende*). Pursuant to *Anders v. California* (1967) 386 U.S. 738 (*Anders*), counsel lists as possible, but not arguable, issues: (1) whether Castelluzzo's waiver of constitutional rights prior to her guilty plea was adequate and complete; (2) whether her psychiatric medications rendered her guilty plea not knowing and intelligent; (3) whether she was entitled to additional presentence credits under the recent amendments to section 4019; and (4) whether she was entitled to presentence custody credits in her concurrent revocation case, No. SCS227555.

We granted Castelluzzo permission to file a brief on her own behalf. She has not responded. A review of the record pursuant to *Wende, supra*, 25 Cal.3d 436 and *Anders*,

¹ All further statutory references are to the Penal Code.

supra, 386 U.S. 738, including the possible issues listed pursuant to *Anders*, has disclosed no reasonably arguable appellate issues. Castelluzzo has been competently represented by counsel on this appeal.

DISPOSITION

The judgment is affirmed.

AARON, J.

WE CONCUR:

HALLER, Acting P. J.

O'ROURKE, J.